

Decision No.

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BOB STUMP Chairman GARY PIERCE Commissioner BRENDA BURNS Commissioner BOB BURNS Commissioner SUSAN BITTER SMITH Commissioner IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PAYSON WATER CO., INC., AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS WATER RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SERVICES BASED THEREON. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PAYSON WATER CO., INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO ISSUE EVIDENCE OF INDEBTEDNESS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,238,000 IN CONNECTION WITH INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE UTILITY SYSTEM; AND ENCUMBER REAL PROPERTY AND PLANT AS SECURITY FOR SUCH INDEBTEDNESS. Open Meeting May 22, 2014
20 21	Phoenix, Arizona BY THE COMMISSION:
22 23	FINDINGS OF FACT
24	1. Payson Water Co., Inc. ("PWC" or "Company") is an Arizona public service
2526	corporation that provides water service to approximately 1,114 customers in eight different communities in Gila County, Arizona. The largest community presently served by PWC is Mesa
27 28	del Caballo ("MDC") with 364 customers. MDC has experienced chronic water shortages during the summer months over the past five years.

2. In September 2010, the Commission authorized PWC to assess an Emergency Interim Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff on MDC customers to recover its costs of hauling water to MDC between May 1st and September 30th of each year. The Commission required PWC to post a performance bond in the form of a cashier's check.

- 3. On October 25, 2013, the Commission issued Decision No. 74175 in Phase I of the above-captioned proceedings for the rate and financing applications. Phase I was decided on an expedited basis so that PWC might have sufficient time to obtain the necessary financing to construct a new water pipeline connecting its MDC system to the Town of Payson's water system prior to the summer of 2014. In Phase I, the Commission granted the Company interim emergency rate relief related to the costs of constructing the pipeline.
- 4. PWC obtained a loan of \$275,000 on February 19, 2014, from the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority of Arizona ("WIFA"), and completed construction of the new pipeline in April 2014.
- 5. On May 6, 2014, PWC sent an e-mail to its MDC customers notifying them that "due to heavy water consumption over the weekend" the Company was "unable to recover from the reduction of [its] water storage volume" and MDC was required to move back to Stage 4 of its Water Curtailment Tariff.
- 6. The e-mail further indicated that construction of the new pipeline was "substantially complete and operational" but that because the Commission

has not yet approved the new rates (which include the Purchased Water Surcharge for MDC customers), the Company cannot afford to purchase water through the new line. At present, and until new rates take affect, the only ACC-approved method for the Company to augment water into [MDC] and recover the costs, remains hauling water into the system with trucks.

- 7. On May 12, 2014, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") issued an Approval of Construction, so PWC now has all of the required regulatory approvals it needs to begin delivering water to its MDC customers via the new pipeline.
- 8. On May 12, 2014, Staff filed an update in this matter to apprise the Commission of the status of MDC's water supplies. In that status update, Staff indicated that it intended to bring this matter before the Commission in an open meeting.
 - 9. PWC agreed to provide appropriate notice to the customers of its MDC system.

- 10. Staff is concerned that the need for supplementing MDC water supplies is imminent, and that the Company's existing tariffs create a perverse incentive for the Company to truck water into MDC instead of delivering it via its operational pipeline.
- 11. Staff believes that trucking water into MDC at this point would not be consistent with the Commission's intent in the Phase I order (i.e., Decision No. 74175) authorizing the financing of the new pipeline. Staff notes that, as of April 1, 2014, MDC customers began paying a \$6.76 per month WIFA loan surcharge to service payments on the \$275,000 WIFA loan.
- 12. Staff concurs with PWC's assessment that the Company's existing tariffs would not allow the Company to recover the cost of any water that it purchases from the Town of Payson and delivers to MDC via its new pipeline. Staff also notes that, while the Company has filed an application to eliminate its Emergency Interim Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff, the Commission has not yet acted on the Company's application, and the Company has expressly asked the Commission not to act on its application until the Commission has approved a purchased water adjustment mechanism ("PWAM") for its MDC system.
- 13. Presently, the Company arguably only has authority to recover the cost of augmentation water if it is trucked into MDC.
- 14. If PWC's existing water supplies were to become insufficient, the Company would need to obtain supplemental supplies.
- 15. Supplementing the water supply by hauling water via trucks is significantly more expensive than supplementing the water supply by transporting purchased water through the new pipeline. Staff is concerned that MDC customers may be subjected to the expensive costs of water hauling, even though a cheaper alternative is available.
- 16. Staff believes that these circumstances justify emergency rate relief, and Staff recommends that the Commission authorize PWC to implement an emergency interim PWAM for the MDC system until a decision is issued in PWC's pending rate case. The details of the interim PWAM tariff as well as estimated customer bill impacts are set forth in Exhibits A and B, respectively.

3

4

5 6

7 8

10

9

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

- 17. The interim PWAM should apply only to the customers of the MDC system.
- 18. Furthermore, any emergency/interim rate relief will be subject to true-up and/or refund in the pending rate case.

Recommendations.

- 19. Based on the foregoing and upon Staff's belief that the need to augment MDC's water supplies is imminent, Staff recommends the following:
 - The Commission should approve, on an interim basis, the PWAM that the a) Company requested and that Staff recommended in PWC's pending rate case, which is attached as Exhibit A.
 - The emergency interim PWAM should apply only to the customers of the b) MDC system.
 - The Commission should accept either the \$10,000 bond that PWC filed c) pursuant to the Phase I order or the performance bond that PWC filed when it initiated its Emergency Interim Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff as appropriate bonds herein.
 - Any emergency/interim rates will be subject to true-up and/or refund in d) PWC's pending rate case.
 - Simultaneous to the approval of the emergency interim PWAM, the e) Commission should grant PWC's request to eliminate its Emergency Interim Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over Payson Water Co., Inc. and over the subject matter herein pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and Title 40 of the Arizona Revised Statutes.
- 2. Appropriate notice of the proceeding and an appropriate opportunity to be heard have been given in the manner prescribed by law.
- 3. Emergency rate relief is appropriate when a company is potentially unable to maintain adequate levels of service at appropriate rates pending the outcome of a final rate determination.

	4.	ADEQ's issuance	of an App	proval of	Construction	on for t	he new	pipeline	reflects a
sudden	and s	gnificant change in	the means	available	e to Payson	Water	Co., Inc.	to suppl	lement its
water s	upply.								

- 5. Without a Commission-authorized PWAM, Payson Water Co., Inc. cannot recover the costs of water purchased from the Town of Payson and transported to MDC through the new pipeline.
- 6. The facts and circumstances demonstrate that Payson Water Co., Inc.'s Mesa del Caballo system faces an urgent situation that justifies emergency/interim rate relief.
 - 7. Staff's recommendations herein are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 8. The Commission, having reviewed Staff's Memorandum, finds that it is in the public interest to permit an interim emergency PWAM mechanism as set forth in Exhibit A to this order.
 - 9. The Emergency Interim Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff should be canceled.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Payson Water Co., Inc. may implement an interim emergency PWAM mechanism as set forth in Attachment A to this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the interim emergency PWAM will terminate upon the effective date that rates approved in Payson Water Co., Inc.'s application for a base rate increase may be charged.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Payson Water Co., Inc. may utilize funds collected pursuant to the interim emergency PWAM only toward payment of the costs of water purchased from the Town of Payson and transported to MDC through the new pipeline.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that rates collected pursuant to the interim emergency PWAM are subject to true-up once rates are approved in Payson Water Co., Inc.'s application for a base rate increase. Amounts collected beyond what are approved in the permanent base rate case are subject to refund.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Payson Water Co., Inc. shall mail notice of the approved interim emergency PWAM to is MDC customers, in a form and manner acceptable to Staff, within five days of the Commission's action in this matter.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Payson Water Co., Inc.'s \$10,000 bond provided in Phase I and/or its performance bond provided with its Water Augmentation Tariff shall serve as appropriate bonds for the interim emergency PWAM.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the interim emergency PWAM approved herein shall apply only to the customers of Payson Water Co., Inc.'s Mesa del Caballo System.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Payson Water Co., Inc.'s Emergency Interim Water Augmentation Surcharge Tariff for the Mesa Del Caballo System is hereby canceled.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER O	F <i>T</i> HE ARIZONA CORPOR A TI	ION COMPUSSION
21/	the gain	A. Siem
CHAIRMAN	COMMI	SSIONER
House Four	- Mart L. Bund	m Rest
COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I JO of the Arizona Corporation Com hand and caused the official s affixed at the Capitol, in day of JØDIJERICH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	nmission, have hereunto, set my seal of this Commission to be
DISSENT:		
DISSENT:		

Decision No. 74484

1	SERVICE LIST FOR: PAYSON WATER CO., INC. DOCKET NOS. W-03514A-13-0111 and W-03514A-13-0142
2	
3	Jay Shapiro FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
5	Kathleen M. Reidhead 14406 South Cholla Canyon Drive
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85044
7 8	Thomas Bremer 6717 East Turquoise Avenue
	Scottsdale, Arizona 85253
9	Bill Sheppard 6250 North Central Avenue
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
11	J. Stephen Gehring Richard M. Burt
12	8157 West Deadeye Road Payson, Arizona 85541
13	
14	Glynn Ross 405 South Ponderosa Payson, Arizona 85541
15	Suzanne Nee
16	2051 East Aspen Drive Tempe, Arizona 85282
17	Mr. Steven M. Olea
18	Director, Utilities Division
19	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
20	
21	Ms. Janice M. Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
22	1200 West Washington Street
23	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
24	
25	
26	
27	

Exhibit A

PURCHASED WATER ADJUSTOR MECHANISM ("PWAM")

TARIFF

FOR MESA DEL CABALLO

PURCHASED WATER ADJUSTOR MECHANISM ("PWAM") TARIFF FOR MESA DEL CABALLO

I. Purpose and Applicability

The purpose of this tariff is to provide for recovery of the costs of water purchased through an interconnection between the Town of Payson and Payson Water Co., Inc. in order to obtain supplemental water supplies for its Mesa Del Caballo ("MDC") system. This tariff is applicable only to MDC customers. These charges are applicable to all MDC connections and will be assessed based on usage, as more particularly provided below.

II. Definitions

Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions set forth in R14-2-401 of the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") rules and regulations governing water utilities shall apply in interpreting this tariff schedule.

"Avoided Production Costs" means the unit cost of production (cost per 1,000 gallons) avoided by PWC because of the use of water purchased from the Town of Payson rather than pumping groundwater from the wells and booster stations in the MDC water system.

"PWC" means Payson Water Co., Inc.

"Purchased Water Cost" means the actual cost billed by the Town of Payson for water purchased through the interconnection between the Town of Payson's water system and the MDC water system.

"Purchased Water" means the actual quantity (in thousands of gallons) of water purchased by PWC from the Town of Payson and transported through the interconnection between the Town of Payson's water system and the MDC water system.

"PWAM Surcharge" means the surcharge calculated in accordance with Section IV below.

"PWAM Surcharge Rate" means the rate per 1,000 gallons that is calculated in accordance with Section III below.

"Town" means the Town of Payson.

"Water Sold" means the actual quantity (in thousands of gallons) of water sold by PWC to MDC customers during the month corresponding to the month in which water was purchased from the Town and transported through the interconnection between the Town's water system and the MDC water system.

III. PWAM Surcharge Rate Calculation

For each month that PWC purchases water from the Town through the interconnection between the Town's water system and the MDC water system, PWC will calculate the PWAM Surcharge Rate per the following formula:

[Purchased Water Cost - (Purchased Water Quantity x Avoided Production Costs)] / Water Sold

IV. Terms and Conditions

(A) <u>Assessment and Billing of PWAM Surcharge</u>: For any month in which water is purchased from the Town, after completing its billing for the month and receiving the Town's billing for the month, PWC will make the PWAM surcharge calculation to determine the PWAM Surcharge Rate.

In the following month, PWC will bill the PWAM Surcharge to its MDC customers only. Each individual customer's billing for the PWAM Surcharge will be based on that customer's actual usage for the previous month (the month corresponding to the water purchase from the Town) times the PWAM Surcharge Rate.

The PWAM Surcharge shall be presented as a separate line item on the customer billing.

- (B) <u>Notice to Commission</u>: For any month in which PWC intends to bill MDC customers a PWAM Surcharge, PWC shall provide Commission Staff notice of PWC's intent to bill the PWAM Surcharge. At the time it bills the PWAM to MDC Customers, PWC shall provide Commission Staff the following:
- 1. The Purchased Water Cost.
- 2. The Purchased Water Quantity.
- 3. A copy of the bill received for the purchase of water from the Town.
- 4. A description of the system problem necessitating purchasing of water and a description of the action being taken by PWC to resolve the problem, including the date operations did or are expected to return to normal.
- 5. The dates for beginning and ending purchasing water.
- 6. A schedule showing the calculation of the PWAM Surcharge Rate in excel format with formulas intact, including a schedule showing the determination of the Avoided Production Costs.

Exhibit B

Payson Water Company Purchased Water Adjustment Mechanism ("PWAM") Example When Less Than 100% of All Water Purchased From Town of Payson

Examples assume that 25% of total water purchased from the Town of Payson

Example 1 - Typical Usage Customer

This example illustrates how the surcharge would be calculated for a customer using 4,500 gallons; 75% (or 3,375) from Payson Water Company and 25% (or 1,125) from the Town of Payson.

	[A]	[8]	<u>ה</u>	[<u>a</u>]	[E]	E	<u></u>	
	•	% of Gallons	Gallons in 1,000	Estimated		Difference		
	Total	From	From Town of	Town of		in Rate per	Surcharge	
	Usage	Town of	Payson	Payson		1,000 gal		
	Accumption	Pavson	Col A x Col B	Commodity Rate	Avoided Costs ¹ Col D - Col E Col C x Col F	Col D - Col E	Col C x Col F	
Block 1	4,000 ×		1	1.000 \$7.48 \$	\$ 0.60	\$ 6.88	\$ 6.88	
Block 2	200	× 25% =	= 0.125	\$7.48	⋄	0.60 \$ 6.88 \$	\$ 0.86	
Total Usage Assumption	4,500		1.125		Total Mont	Total Monthly Surcharge	\$ 7.74 *	
							*Excludes Taxes	

Example 2 - High Usage Customer

This example illustrates how the surcharge would be calculated for a customer using 25,000 gallons; 75% (or 18,750) from Payson Water Company and 25% (or 6,250) from the Town of Payson.

	[A]	[8]	<u>[</u>	[0]	(E)	Ξ	<u>[9]</u>	
	1	% of Gallons	Gallons in 1,000	Estimated		Difference		
	Total	From	From Town of	Town of		in Rate per	Surcharge	
	Usage	Town of	Payson	Payson		1,000 gal		
	Accumption	PavsOp	Col A x Col B	Col A x Col B Commodity Rate	Avoided Costs ¹ Col D · Col E Col C × Col F	Col D - Col E	Col C x Col F	
Block 1	4,000 ×		1	\$7.48	\$ 09:0 \$	\$ 6.88 \$	\$ 6.88	
Block 2	21,000 ×	= %52	5.250	\$7.48 \$	\$ 09.0 \$	\$ 6.88 \$	\$ 36.12	
Total Usage Assumption	25,000		6.250		Total Mont	Total Monthly Surcharge	\$ 43.00 *	

¹ Avoided Costs are production costs (i.e., chemicals and purchased pumping power) that the Company would not incur when water is purchased for this system rather than pumped from the Company's wells.